Supervision of Instruction
15:230:521:91
June 26, 2017 - August 4, 2017

Dr. Scott Taylor
taylors@rci.rutgers.edu • taylorleadership.net • hpschools.net
Blog: successfulschools.blogspot.com • Twitter: twitter.com/TaylorEdLead

732-672-9276 (cell)
732-572-2400, ext. 2812 (office)

Additional course resources can be found at www.taylorleadership.net

Course Description

The course will consider the definition and scope of the supervisory practice, including an overview of the history, philosophy, and techniques of effective supervision. Emphasis will be placed on the practical application of supervision for the improvement of instruction, meaningful professional growth and development and evaluation of staff. Specifically, the following principles will be discussed throughout the semester:

•Adult learning •Hunter’s Direct Instruction model •Differentiated instruction
•Constructivism •Inquiry-based instruction •Walk-throughs •Legal impact of evaluations •Leaders’ responsibilities to facilitate professional development
•Mentoring plans •Interpersonal skills •Johari Window •Pre and Post Observations •Reflective questioning technique •Empowering school personnel to create effective curricula

Texts


Archived and current articles from Educational Leadership, using online access granted to student subscribers. Class participants are expected to join The Association of Supervision and Curriculum Development as basic student members (see end of syllabus for registration form). It is advised that students call to register). Membership includes monthly paper issues of Educational Leadership, online access to archives that date back to 1943, and reduced rates to workshops and conferences.

Information from Twitter provided by my account (@TaylorEdLead). Follow these directions to begin: 1. Go to www.twitter.com 2. Sign up for an account. 3. After signing in and setting up your account, click the following link: http://twitter.com/TaylorEdLead and click "Follow" in the upper right corner of the screen. 4. Check your Twitter account from time to time to read my feed. 5. Download the smartphone applications if you want to pick up my tweets on your mobile device.
Coursework

**Interpersonal Skills: Current Practice of Supervision and our Relationships With Personnel**

- **June 26-July 2**
  - Introductions and course overview
  - Reflecting on the role of the educational supervisor, including an overview of Glickman’s “SuperVision for Successful Schools” model
  - Assessing personality traits, attitudes, and philosophy of leadership
  - The Johari Window

  Professional Standards for Educational Leaders: 2.a-b, e-f, 3.g, 5.d, 6.a-i, 7.a-h, 9.k, 10.a-f, i-j
  Educational Administration Certification Standards: 6A: 9-12.5 (a) 2: i-vi

  **Readings due July 2**: Glickman Chapters 1-2, 6 (provided)

  **Task due July 2**: Johari Window Worksheet and Wordle

  **Webinar on June 28 at 3:45 (class introduction)**

- **July 3-July 5**
  - Using different behaviors when working with teachers of varying developmental levels
  - Developmental supervision and its relationship with teacher developmental levels and behaviors

  Professional Standards for Educational Leaders: 2.a-b, e-f, 3.g, 5.d, 6.a-i, 7.a-h, 9.k, 10.a-f, i-j
  Educational Administration Certification Standards: 6A: 9-12.5 (a) 2: i-vi

  **Readings due July 5**: Glickman Chapters 8-11

  **Webinar on July 5 at 3:45** (TEAC Project overview)

- **July 6-July 9**
  - The supervisor as classroom observer: what to look for and how to identify what is important in a lesson
  - Methods and tools for documenting a lesson observation
  - Quantitative and qualitative observations and tools for collecting the data

  Professional Standards for Educational Leaders: 4.c-d, 6.c-i, 7.a-f, 10.f-g, j
  Educational Administration Certification Standards: 6A: 9-12.5 (a) 2: i-vi

  **Task due July 9**: Discussion Thread comment (respond to prompt in Canvas Discussions area)
Knowledge and Technical Skills: Identifying Effective Evaluation Techniques, Including Walk-Throughs and Formal Evaluations

- July 10-July 12
  - Practicing the observation process
  - Writing teacher evaluations

  Professional Standards for Educational Leaders: 4.c-d, 6.c-i, 7.a-f, 10.f-g, j
  Educational Administration Certification Standards: 6A: 9-12.5 (a) 2: i-vi

  Readings due July 12: Glickman Chapters 14-15

- July 13-July 16
  Professional Standards for Educational Leaders: 4.c-d, 6.c-i, 7.a-f, 10.f-g, j
  Educational Administration Certification Standards: 6A: 9-12.5 (a) 2: i-vi

  Task due July 16: TEAC: Observation of Teachers

- July 17-July 23
  - Conducting effective post-observation conferences
  - Using reflective questions to facilitate meaningful discussions

  - Examining the reflective question again: using reflective questions to engage teachers in professional conversations

  Professional Standards for Educational Leaders: 4.c-d, 6.c-i, 7.a-f, 10.f-g, j
  Educational Administration Certification Standards: 6A: 9-12.5 (a) 2: i-vi

  Readings due July 23: Using Observation to Improve Instruction, William Powell and Susan Napoliello (Educational Leadership- February 2005); Let’s Eliminate the Preobservation Conference, Madeline Hunter (Educational Leadership- March 1986); Focusing Teacher Evaluations on Student Learning, Edward F. Iwanicki (Educational Leadership- February 2001); Evaluations That Help Teachers Learn, Charlotte Danielson (Educational Leadership- December 2010-January 2011).

  Task due July 23: Discussion Thread comment (respond to prompt in Canvas Discussions area)

  Webinar on July 18 at 3:45 (VoiceThread Project overview)
**Knowledge and Technical Skills: The Leader’s Responsibility to Foster Professional Development**

- **Week of July 24-30**
  
  - Models of effective professional development

  Professional Standards for Educational Leaders: 4.c-d, 6.c-i, 7.a-f, 10.f-g, j
  Educational Administration Certification Standards: 6A: 9-12.5 (a) 2: i-vi

  **Readings due July 30:** Glickman Chapter 4

  **Task due July 30:** A Leader’s Vision for Professional Development (VoiceThread)

- **Week of July 30-August 4**
  
  - Planning, developing, and sustaining professional learning communities

  Professional Standards for Educational Leaders: 4.c-d, 6.c-i, 7.a-f, 10.f-g, j
  Educational Administration Certification Standards: 6A: 9-12.5 (a) 2: i-vi

  **Readings due August 4:** Looking at Student Work, Georgea M. Langer and Amy B. Colton (Educational Leadership- February 2005); Supporting Teacher Learning Teams, Steve Chappuis, Jan Chappuis and Rick Stiggins (Educational Leadership- February 2009)

  **Task due August 4:** A Leader’s Vision for Professional Development (VoiceThread) peer comments
Assessment of Comprehension and Application

Grades will be assigned on a point-basis. Instructor feedback will be provided on a regular basis. A determination that students in this class understood the material discussed and were able to apply this understanding practically will be based on the following:

- **Johari Window Analysis and Wordle**: 20 points
- **Threaded Discussion**: 10 points each (20 total)
- **Observation of Teacher: TEAC Requirement**: 40 points
- **A Leader’s Vision for Professional Development (VoiceThread)**: 20 points

Grading Scale (based on points): A= 90-100, B+= 85-89, B= 80-84, C+= 75-79, C= 70-74 points, F= Below 70 points.

Rutgers University welcomes students with disabilities into all of the University's educational programs. In order to receive consideration for reasonable accommodations, a student with a disability must contact the appropriate disability services office at the campus where you are officially enrolled, participate in an intake interview, and provide documentation:

https://ods.rutgers.edu/students/documentation-guidelines.

If the documentation supports your request for reasonable accommodations, your campus's disability services office will provide you with a Letter of Accommodations. Please share this letter with your instructors and discuss the accommodations with them as early in your courses as possible. To begin this process, please complete the Registration form on the ODS web site at:

https://ods.rutgers.edu/students/registration-form
Suggested Readings


Johari Window Analysis and Wordle

Goals

1. To identify and understand personality traits that may influence a supervisor’s approach to practice.

2. To utilize the Johari Window to recognize known and unknown behaviors.

Procedures

1. Complete the Johari Window Worksheet (see Canvas for download) by referring to the class review of the Johari Window to identify aspects of the public self, the blind self, the private self, and the unknown self. The character traits you indicate can be listed in bullet or number list form (you do not need to provide a narrative).

2. Use Wordle (www.wordle.net) to visualize your work by inputting the text you provided in the worksheet. You can copy and paste all of the text into the Wordle text window.
**Johari Window Analysis and Wordle Scoring Rubric**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Johari Window Worksheet</th>
<th>7-10</th>
<th>4-6</th>
<th>0-3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Demonstrates clear knowledge of the Johari Window by specifically identifying at least three character traits that represent each “pane” of the window. Traits are aligned to the relevant panes.</td>
<td>Demonstrates some knowledge of the Johari Window by identifying one character trait that represents each “pane” of the window. Traits may be loosely aligned to the relevant pane.</td>
<td>Does not demonstrate knowledge of the Johari Window and identifies one or fewer character traits that represents each “pane” of the window. Traits may be loosely aligned to the relevant pane.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wordle</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Supervision of Instruction Threaded Discussions

Goals

1. To think critically about topics related to the supervision of faculty and support staff.

2. To demonstrate and apply understanding of topical issues related to supervision by contributing to the collaborative discussion.

Procedures

Each student will be responsible for contributing to a threaded discussion. The rubric lists expectations that should frame the posts. The discussion topic will be assigned by the instructor and will focus on the readings, video lectures, and/or blog posts (http://successfulschools.blogspot.com/).
**Supervision of Instruction Threaded Discussion Scoring Rubric**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Knowledge and Analysis</th>
<th>0-10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Demonstrates specific knowledge about the underlying theme or idea inherent in the assigned reading, video presentation, and/or blog post for the week by including at least one detail to support the discussion point.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provides comment(s) that compels peers to think critically about the theme or idea presented in the assigned reading and/or video presentation. Presents an idea that may spurn more critical thought in the threaded discussion. Shares personal and/or professional experiences that relate to the topic and illustrate the practical application of theories and/or research being discussed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Observation of Teacher (TEAC Requirement)

The Rutgers Graduate School of Education’s teacher education program has been granted pre-accreditation status by the Teacher Education Accreditation Council (TEAC). The tasks described below are required to be completed as per TEAC requirements. Your work will be compiled along with other materials you will produce during your coursework in a portfolio format. I will upload your scores to a central website upon completion of my review of your work. You will have to upload your final document to this central site (instructions are provided in Canvas).

There are two steps to this assignment: 1. Observe the teacher in the video, which can be found at the bottom of the Modules list in Canvas. 2. Collect observation data and conduct an analysis of what you observe.

Below is a more detailed description of the assignment, as provided by the Graduate School of Education. The rubric for this assignment follows the description.

Step 1 “Observations”

1. Read over the Analysis expected in Step 2 below before you begin observing. Have an idea of what you will be looking for when you watch the classroom. Remember that you are observing the entire class—teachers and students.

2. Develop a plan for how you will record your observations during the class. The choice of recording format is yours. Choose what will work for you. You do not have to turn in the observation notes.

3. Paper Part I: Observation Write-ups. This section of your paper should be one to two pages. Write a concise description of your observation. For this assignment, you are to observe all aspects of the class—teacher activities, student behaviors, teacher-student interaction, etc. Observe all those items that an educational leader would if he/she were in the class. Observation write-ups are descriptions only. Do not interpret, offer opinion, draw conclusions, judge etc. Limit yourself to describing. Be sure to cover the class from start to finish.

   This section should be up to two pages.

Step 2 “Analysis”

1. Based upon the observation that you have reported in the write-up (you may not refer to anything that you observed but did not include in your write-up), do the following:

   • Identify the instructional activities/strategies that you believe were most effective/successful. Provide the rationale for your choices.

   • Identify the aspects that you believe were least effective/successful and need to be improved. Provide the rationale for your choices.
•Provide specific suggestions for the teacher to improve the aspects identified above as needing improvement. Provide the rationale.

This section should be up to two pages.

2. Concentrate on constructive actions the teacher might take, additional activities, modifications to observed activities, etc. rather than making a judgmental statement like “the teacher could not handle the class.”

3. The idea is not to label the quality of the teacher or to rate the teacher’s performance as you would in a formal evaluation, but to identify what was most successful in the class period and what in your opinion might be done to make weaker elements more successful.

Submitting Your Work

Follow these specifications when preparing your document:

•Papers should be double-spaced
•Documents should have 1¼-inch margins on each side
•Use Times font, 12 points
•Do not include a Title Page; List your title and name on the first page of the paper.
•You should provide a header for each section of your paper so I can easily identify the different parts of your work
•You should email me one document that includes all of your work
Demonstration Task Scoring Rubric Designed by and for Rutgers University TEAC Requirement

(Points will be assigned holistically, based on satisfaction of the expectations below)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analysis: Teacher</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Needs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Areas of least effective/successful learning activities/strategies discussed. Items were cited in Observation. Rationale provided for choice.</td>
<td>□ Not Submitted; Analysis is incomplete.</td>
<td>□ Elements identified as lesson needs are not well chosen. Some needs overlooked. Rationale poorly presented. Elements were not reported in Observation.</td>
<td>□ Elements identified as lesson needs are not well chosen. Some needs overlooked. Rationale poorly presented. Elements were not reported in Observation.</td>
<td>□ Clear, concise detail in discussion. Elements were reported in Observation.</td>
<td>□ Critical thinking demonstrated in choice of lesson strengths and rationale for choice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis: Improvements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suggestions for improving each need are presented.</td>
<td>□ Not Submitted; Analysis is incomplete.</td>
<td>□ Improvement recommendations are missing or not specific. Recommendations not appropriate or valid.</td>
<td>□ Specific improvement recommendations made. Recommendations are valid and appropriate.</td>
<td>□ Multiple, specific, appropriate improvement recommendations made.</td>
<td>□ Choice of recommendations reflects sound, well-thought-out insight.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class with most effective student learning is identified. Rationale provided for choice.</td>
<td>□ Not Submitted; identification/rationale is incomplete.</td>
<td>□ Most effective class not identified or choice inappropriate. Rationale provided is poor.</td>
<td>□ Choice is well reasoned. Rationale provided for choice.</td>
<td>□ Choice is well reasoned.</td>
<td>□ Rationale provided for choice reflects sound, well-thought out insight.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing Quality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing is of poor quality; paper incomplete</td>
<td>□ Not Submitted; Writing is of poor quality; paper incomplete</td>
<td>□ Writing is average quality. Sentences and paragraphs weakly constructed. Noticeable errors in grammar, spelling, or mechanics.</td>
<td>□ Above average writing quality. No errors in grammar, spelling mechanics.</td>
<td>□ Proper mechanics and presentation.</td>
<td>□ Clear, concise high-quality writing.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GSV Spring 2017
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>0= Not Acceptable</th>
<th>1= Partially Proficient</th>
<th>2= Proficient</th>
<th>3= Advanced Proficient</th>
<th>4= Superior</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Observation:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description Provides a concise, complete description of class sessions. Activities identified. Fits within page limit.</td>
<td>☐ Not Submitted; Description is incomplete.</td>
<td>☐ Descriptions are fair. Some items are vague or unclear. Exceeds page limits</td>
<td>☐ Descriptions are adequate. Covers all elements of class. Within page limit.</td>
<td>☐ Clear, concise descriptions provided. Within page limit.</td>
<td>☐ Covers all elements of class with selective, well-thought out detail. Within page limit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Observation: Teacher Actions/Behavior described. Opening/closure described. Presentation of activities detailed. Transition methods discussed. Classroom management described.</strong></td>
<td>☐ Not Submitted; Description is incomplete.</td>
<td>☐ Minimal discussion of teacher actions/behaviors. Descriptions poorly organized and poorly expressed. Not all elements of class described.</td>
<td>☐ Teacher actions described in some detail. All elements of class covered. Description flows from one activity to another. Classroom management discussed.</td>
<td>☐ Clear, concise descriptions provided. Covers all elements of class in good detail.</td>
<td>☐ Discussion expressed and presented in logical progression.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Observation: Students Behavior of students is described. Student reaction to teacher presentation discussed. Engagement level of students noted. Evidences of learning cited.</strong></td>
<td>☐ Not Submitted; Description is incomplete.</td>
<td>☐ Minimal discussion of student actions/behaviors. Descriptions poorly organized and poorly expressed. Not all elements of class described.</td>
<td>☐ Student actions and behavior described in some detail. Reaction to teacher presentation described. Engagement level and evidence of learning discussed.</td>
<td>☐ Clear, concise descriptions provided. Covers all elements of class in good detail.</td>
<td>☐ Discussion expressed and presented in logical progression.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Analysis: Teacher Strengths:</strong></td>
<td>☐ Not Submitted; Analysis is incomplete.</td>
<td>☐ Elements identified as lesson strengths are not appropriate. Rationale limited or poorly presented. Elements were not reported in Observation.</td>
<td>☐ Elements identified as lesson strengths are appropriate. Discussed in some detail. Valid and sufficient rationale provided. Elements were reported in Observation.</td>
<td>☐ Clear, concise detail in discussion. Elements were reported in Observation.</td>
<td>☐ Critical thinking demonstrated in choice of lesson strengths and rationale for choice.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A Leader’s Vision for Professional Development

Goals

1. To understand the role professional development plays in supporting district initiatives.

2. To recognize the relationship between professional development and student achievement.

Procedures

1. Log onto http://voicethread.com and create a free account. A brief video tutorial that I produced can be found by going to: https://vimeo.com/194757733

2. Create a VoiceThread document that describes a leader’s plan to develop professional development for a school district. The plan can focus on one need but should reflect support of the district’s initiatives in some manner. All elements of professional development (i.e. stakeholder representation, sample experiences, funding, etc.) should be considered in the plan. I strongly recommend you sketch your plan before developing a VoiceThread presentation. You should also spend some time looking at sample VoiceThreads before embarking on creating your own.

3. According to the date listed in the syllabus, log in to Voicethread and post one comment on each of your peers’ VoiceThreads. It is suggested that your comment include a reflective question that may encourage the VoiceThread artist to consider other ideas about his/her plan.
# A Leader’s Vision for Professional Development Scoring Rubric

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>11-15</th>
<th>6-10</th>
<th>0-5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expression</strong></td>
<td>Demonstrates clear understanding of the themes related to professional development presented in the works read and the video lectures. Shows the relationship among professional development activities, the role of the administration team, the LPDC, the school-based PD committees, district initiatives, and the district budget. Illustrates the vision for professional development by using at least one image, one sound item, and text.</td>
<td>Demonstrates somewhat of an understanding of the themes related to professional development presented in the works read and the video lectures. When examining professional development, shows the relationship among some but not all of the following: professional development activities, the role of the administration team, the LPDC, the school-based PD committees, district initiatives, and the district budget. Illustrates the vision for professional development by using one but not all of the following: one image, one sound item, and text.</td>
<td>Demonstrates a weak understanding of the themes presented in the works read. When examining professional development, does not adequately illustrate the relationship among the following: professional development activities, the role of the administration team, the LPDC, the school-based PD committees, district initiatives and the district budget. Illustrates the vision for professional development by using only one of the following: one image, one sound item, and text.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Collaboration</strong></td>
<td>Provides at least one voice comment on each peer’s Voicethread that poses includes a reflective question.</td>
<td>Provides comments and/or questions on some, but not all peers’ VoiceThreads.</td>
<td>Provides comments and/or questions on three or fewer peers’ VoiceThreads.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>