

Fall 2015
 Psychology of Learning
 15:295:580 Section 90
 3 Credits

Dr. Clark Chinn	clark.chinn@gse.rutgers.edu
848-932-0824	337 GSE
Office Hours by arrangement.	Prerequisites or other limitations: None
Mode of Instruction: <input type="checkbox"/> Lecture <input type="checkbox"/> Seminar <input type="checkbox"/> Hybrid <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Online <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Permission required: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes

Learning Goals

This course is designed to help you acquire the knowledge and skills shown in the following table. (The alignment of the learning goals with the overall learning goals of the LCD Master’s degree is also shown in the table. In addition, the table shows how each goal will be assessed.

LCD master’s program goals	Course goals	Assessment of course goals
1. Attain mastery of psychological constructs and theories relevant to learning, cognition and development.	1a. Gain knowledge of effective and ineffective strategies for learning and thinking. 1b. Gain knowledge of theories of learning and teaching. 1c. Gain knowledge of empirical results on learning and teaching.	In weekly discussions and problem discussions, you will to explain, evaluate, and apply research through the discussion questions that we pose in class. In your class paper, you will write about theories of and research on reasoning in ways that demonstrate your understanding and your abilities to evaluate and apply the research. Your weekly assignments will also provide information about your mastery of this body of knowledge.
2. Appropriately apply these psychological constructs and theories to educational settings and related applied contexts.	2a. Develop skills of evaluating students’ knowledge and strategy use, as well as of evaluating teaching strategies. 2b. Develop skills of planning instruction to promote strategy development.	
3. Achieve skill in the critical evaluation of empirical evidence related to the psychology of education.	3a. Develop skills of learning to read and interpret empirical articles on learning and teaching	In the weekly discussions, you critique the studies you read both methodologically and theoretically. In your class paper, you will critically evaluate the key pieces of evidence that you review as part of your paper.

4. Attain competence in oral and written communication on topics within educational psychology.	4a. Develop skills of writing a literature review or a teaching plan.	In the class paper, you will write either a review of research or a practical paper applying what you have learned to the design or evaluation of instruction.
---	---	--

Course Catalog Description

Introduction to psychological theories of human learning, including behavioral, social, and cognitive theories of learning. Principles of learning, mediation, and transfer as deduced from these theories. Applications to a variety of settings considered, including classrooms and information setting.

Class Materials

Class materials consist of weekly readings that will be posted on eCollege.

General Note

Please note that 5-week summer courses are very intense, and this is just as true of online courses as face-to-face courses. If you do not have substantial time to invest in the course during the five weeks it is running, I urge you to wait and take this course at a later time.

Features of an Online Course

An online course differs from a traditional face-to-face course in a number of ways. In particular, for this class:

- A. There is a strong emphasis on student-driven learning. The instructor role is of overall facilitator and coordinator.
- B. You will be able to work at your convenience. But it is important to be seriously engaged at least five days during each and every week. This is quite different from a traditional course, in which it is perfectly fine to prepare the day before, go to class the day of class, and then not think about the course the other five days a week. It is *especially* important during a five-week online course that you work consistently each day.
- C. We focus on asynchronous rather than synchronous activities. This course will--officially--be all asynchronous, except for our one (completely optional) face-to-face meeting.
- D. Students do more of the integrative work than in a face-to-face class. This is likely to support long-term memory development.

Weekly Schedule

The asynchronous discussions allow an extended time to reflect on what we have read. Here is a typical schedule:

Each weekly cycle begins on **Tuesday** and ends on the following **Monday**. The main activities each week are as follows:

1. Discussions of readings and problems. **Tuesday** through the following **Monday**.
Participate in both categories of discussions: discussions of readings and discussions of instructional problems. It is important to start contributing promptly each week on these problems. I expect everyone to start contributing no later than Wednesday, and Tuesday is preferred; you should also contribute regularly throughout the week, not just at the beginning or the end of the week.
I will often pose follow-up questions on Friday or Saturday, so it is also important that you are participating in the discussion threads all the way through the last two days of the cycle (Saturdays, Sundays, and/or Mondays) as well as earlier in the week.
2. Weekly assignment. **Sunday**.
Your weekly individual assignment based on the readings is due on the second to last day of the cycle. This gives you a chance to reflect on ideas that your fellow students and I bring out in the discussion before you write your responses to the assignment.
3. Reading for the next week. **Tuesday** through the following **Monday**.
As we participate in discussions each week, we will simultaneously complete the readings for the following week. Complete the readings for the next week by **Monday**.
4. Discussion questions. Due on **Monday**.
Also by Monday (specifically, by **10 pm on Monday**), email me one question about each of readings that you are interested in discussing. (I will aim to include some of these questions--or variations of them--in the discussion questions, and/or my follow-up questions. I will also encourage you to pose some of your own questions in the discussion threads.)

To reiterate, it is important that you get onto the discussion threads and contribute on at least 4 or 5 different days spread out throughout the weekly cycle.

Schedule

Schematically, the weekly schedule looks like this:

Su	M	T	W	Th	F	Sa
		Discussions for <u>current week</u> begin on Tuesday morning.	Continue contributing to _____ discussions.			
		Begin reading the <u>next week's</u> readings.	Continue the <u>next week's</u> readings.	Continue the <u>next week's</u> readings.	Continue the <u>next week's</u> readings.	Continue the <u>next week's</u> readings.
Continue contributing to discussions. Continue the <u>next week's</u> readings. Weekly assignment for <u>current week's</u> readings is due by midnight.	Continue contributing to discussions. Complete the <u>next week's</u> readings. Submit one possible discussion question on each of the next week's readings.	The cycle repeats in the next week.				

In this chart, "discussions" includes both (1) the main discussion threads about the papers we have read and (2) the discussion threads for the instructional problems. As you will see when you get online, these are in different sections of the week's web pages.

In addition to assignments within the weekly cycle, you will have four additional due dates (see also the Weekly Schedule):

Monday, October 26. Submit a one-paragraph summary of proposal for class paper.

Tuesday, December 21. Submit class paper.

** Note that beginning with Week 12, the schedule shifts back three days due to Thanksgiving weekend, and from this point, the weeks will start on Saturdays rather than on Tuesdays, and run through Fridays instead of Mondays.

Schedule by Week

Please note that readings may change two weeks in advance of being assigned, as I may decide--based on class discussions--that it would benefit the class to substitute another for the reading listed here. I have deliberately left some TBA (To Be Announced) slots in the schedule to allow me to tailor some later readings to the class in light of earlier class discussions.

Week	Specific Topic	READINGS and JOURNALS due before this week begins (before Tues)	Discussions starting on Tuesday	Other assignments
Week 1. 9/1 to 9/7	Getting started		Problems	See Week 1 instructions on eCollege
Week 2 9/8 to 9/14	Theories of Learning	Chinn (2011a) Kirschner & Merriënboer (2013) Chi & Wylie (2014)	Readings Problems	
Week 3 9/15 to 9/21	Theories of Learning	Rogoff et al. (2003) Scott & Palincsar (2013) How People Learn, Ch 2	Readings Problems	
Week 4 9/22 to 9/28	Prior conceptions and learning	Chinn (2011b) Watson & Konicsek (1992) Chinn & Samarapungavan (2001) Swann (1997)	Readings Problems	
Week 5 9/29 to 10/5	Self-regulated learning	Chinn (2011c) Wineburg (1991) TBA	Readings Problems	
Week 6 10/6 to 10/12	Goals and assessment	Chinn (2011d) Wilson & Sloane (2000) NRC (2005)	Readings Problems	
Week 7 10/13 to 10/19	Motivation I	Dweck (2010) Belland et al. (2013) TBA	Readings Problems	
Week 8 10/20 to 10/26	Motivation II	Chinn (2011f) Raphael et al. (2008) Yeager & Dweck (2013)	Readings Problems	Oct. 26: Submit one-paragraph summary of proposal for class paper.
Week 9 10/27 to 11/2	Motivation III; Transfer I	Patrick et al. (2001) Nokes-Malach (2012). TBA	Readings Problems	
Week 10 11/3 to 11/9	Transfer II	How People Learn, Ch 3 Schwartz et al. (2012) TBA	Readings Problems	
Week 11 11/10 to 11/16	Transfer III	Kapur & Bielaczyc (2012) CTGV (1992) TBA	Readings Problems	
Week 12 11/17 to 11/23**	Teaching strategies	Chinn (2011g) Rinehart et al. (2015) Langer (2001) TBA	Readings Problems	
Week 13 11/24 to 12/4	Collaborative learning	Chinn (2011h) Nussbaum & Edwards (2011) King (2002)	Readings Problems	
Week 14 12/5 to 12/11	Other instructional issues	Czuchry (1995) MacArthur et al. (2002) Waggoner et al. (1995) Stigler et al. (1998)	Readings Problems	
Week 15: 12/12-12/21		No readings or discussion; this is a week to complete your class paper.		Dec. 21: Class paper is due.

** Note that beginning with Week 12, the schedule shifts back three days due to Thanksgiving, and from this point, the weeks will start on Friday rather than on Tuesday.

Evaluation

1. Discussions of readings	20%
2. Discussions of collaborative problems	20%
2. Questions about articles	5%
4. Weekly assignments	30%
5. Class paper	25%

1. Discussions of readings

Each week, you will discuss the readings within eCollege discussion threads. We will focus on:

- Clarifying understanding of the readings. For research articles, this includes the research question, what the method was, what the results were, and whether the authors' conclusions are appropriate.
- Discussing applications and implications of the ideas you have read about.

The minimum requirement for contributing to the discussion is 9 or more substantive entries (including at least 4 responses) to the discussion threads. Your contributions to the discussions plus the collaborative problems (explained below) should collectively indicate that you have read all the readings. I also expect that you will not simply stop at 9 contributions each week. I hope that your goal will be to participate in meaningful, interesting discussions.

I expect everyone to start contributing no later than Wednesday, and Tuesday is preferred; you should also contribute regularly throughout the week, not just at the beginning of the end of the week.

Evaluation will be based on the number of contributions as well as the quality and timing (throughout the week) of your contributions.

Discussions are places to explore and entertain ideas. There should be no presumption that discussants are firmly committed to positions that they are presenting arguments for.

2. Discussions of instructional problems

Each week's discussions will also include discussions focused on practical instructional problems that require application of the ideas in the readings. You will work on Collaborative Problems within eCollege discussion threads. You should make at least 4 contributions to the discussions of instructional problems each week (some weeks will require more), and these contributions should begin right away on Tuesday. As with the discussions of readings, I expect that you will not just be counting contributions but rather be participating meaningfully in the discussion.

Evaluation will be based on the number of contributions as well as the quality of your contributions.

I expect everyone to contribute at least once on Tuesday, as well as on at least three of the first four days of the weekly cycle (Monday through Thursday).

3. Questions

Each week, by Monday at 10 p.m., submit discussion questions about the readings. The description of activities for each week will give you more specific instructions about how many questions to write and about which readings. These should be questions raised by the readings that you would like to discuss.

The URL of the site at which you submit the form is:

<http://spreadsheets.google.com/viewform?hl=en&formkey=dFRxb0ZIRmMtR1FJdXNuSXd3OmpMZVE6MQ>

If for some reason this form does not work, please email me your questions, and alert me that you couldn't get the form to work.

4. Weekly Assignment

Each week, you will submit a written assignment based on the week's readings. You will submit the assignment by Sunday of each week (except for Weeks 1 and 15, when there is no assignment). Each week's assignment will be posted a Google doc that I will create for you. These need not be polished in terms of refined prose, but they should show that you have reflected substantively on the readings and that you have understood them.

5. Class Paper

The class paper is to be 15 to 20 pages in length (double spaced, Times New Roman 12 point font or Arial 11 point font). There are a variety of formats from which to choose, so that you can find a topic that is relevant to your interests and of value to your future work. The paper will give you the opportunity to explore an aspect of the course in greater detail or extend a topic to another area. You may also pursue other areas not specifically addressed in-depth in the course (e.g. gender and ethnic differences, portfolio-based assessments, etc.). I am also open to other suggestions, so let me know if you have another idea. The paper is due on December 19. Please send the paper to me via email attachment. Name the file as follows: 580 CLASS PAPER Yourlastname Yourfirstname. For example: 580 CLASS PAPER Chinn Clark.

Here are the options for your paper:

a) Research/Theory Review Paper. This is a paper that reviews research on a specific topic related to the course. The paper explores a particular issue in greater depth. The paper also references additional articles and resources on the topic that you have selected. The paper summarizes and introduces the concept under study, highlights the main issues in the field, presents contrasting points of view and debates in the field. In the paper's conclusion, you could apply relevant issues to a real world context, extend the topic to a new setting, or recommend future directions or avenues for research.

b) Design of an instructional unit. This is a paper that applies what you have learned to develop a unit plan for teaching a topic. The paper applies what you have learned to design a unit (a series of lessons) that teaches a set of topics. Part of the paper describes the lessons. You might include an example of a page of materials that you will use and that is grounded in principles of learning and teaching covered in the course. The other part of the paper describes in detail the principles of learning and instruction that have guided the development of the unit. You should explain specifically how the principles of learning and instruction apply to your particular unit. Your coverage of principles of teaching and learning should be broad-ranging, describing how you have taken ideas from throughout the course to develop a unit that you believe will be effective.

Note that your unit doesn't have to be on a traditional educational topic. If you are preparing for a career in counseling, you might prepare a series of workshops on coping strategies for clients, for example. If you expect to be involved with teacher development work, you might design a series of workshops for teachers on a given

topic such as effective inclusion strategies. You might develop a series of workshops to help first-year students how to be successful in the university.

c) Design of a single lesson (or perhaps two lessons). This is similar to the design of a unit, except that you will focus in more detail on the design on one or two lessons (or, alternatively, a one or two hour workshop). Part of the paper describes the lesson or lesson in detail, presenting examples of handouts or any other material. The lesson should be designed so that it is based on principles of learning and instruction you have learned in the course. The other part of the paper describes in detail the principles of learning and instruction that have guided the development of the lesson or lessons. You should explain specifically how the principles of learning and instruction apply to your particular lessons. Your coverage of principles of teaching and learning should be broad-ranging, describing how you have taken ideas from throughout the course to develop lessons that you believe will be effective.

As with the design of the instructional unit, your lesson need not be on a traditional educational topic. You might design a workshop for students on how to study more effectively or how to make career decisions. Or you might design a workshop for teachers on how to teach something more effectively.

d) Evaluation of instruction. This is a paper that presents an analysis and/or evaluation of an existing instructional lesson, pair of lessons, unit, curriculum, software, or the like. Part of the paper describes the instruction you are evaluating. The other part presents the evaluation, with the analysis grounded in principles of learning and instruction. If problems are found, then the paper should include suggestions for improvement. The critique and recommendations for improvement should be broad ranging, taking ideas from throughout the course to formulate your evaluation.

Note: It is not acceptable to use a paper that you have used for another course. However, it is acceptable to do a paper on a similar topic (as long as you take a new perspective and the writing is all new). Please email me if you have questions about this issue.

Paper Guidelines

Here are some guidelines as you write the instructional analysis and the class paper:

- Conciseness – try to write in a simple, clear, and non-repetitive way.
- Completeness and depth - present the necessary amount of detail to support your points. Write as though your audience is not an expert on your topic and in a way that demonstrates depth of analysis of the topic. Bring in psychological evidence and justify your view using psychology, not rumors or anecdotes.
- Independent, balanced judgment – go beyond the information presented by others. Be critical, seeing both strengths and weaknesses and support opinions with your own reasons.
- Attention to professional style and ethics. Quotes must have appropriate references. When paraphrasing you still **MUST** acknowledge the original work. Plagiarism will be addressed in accordance with the University policy. Here's a thinking tool to help you decide whether you have quoted inappropriately. Imagine that Google had every word ever written on its servers (all published and unpublished writing, from all of history through this moment). Would a Google search on any extended phrase or clause in your document yield a hit? If so, there is likely to be a problem.

- Critical reading – are you evaluating strengths/weaknesses of the material you are reading? Are you being objective in your discussions of the material?
- If you choose options (b) or (c), your paper should show clear evidence that you are applying ideas from throughout the course.
- On lateness – if you need an extension of time on an assignment please contact me well before the date when the assignment is due.
- You can use the following format for references to my unpublished work:
Chinn, C. A. (2011). *Title of chapter*. Unpublished manuscript.

Netiquette

This is drawn from Palloff, R. M., & Pratt, K. (1999). *Building learning communities in cyberspace*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, p. 101.

- Check the discussion frequently and respond appropriately and on the subject.
- Focus on one subject per message and use pertinent, informative, and not-too-long subject titles
- Capitalize words only to highlight a point or for titles. Capitalizing otherwise is generally viewed as SHOUTING.
- Be professional and careful with your online interaction
- Cite all quotes, references, and sources.
- It is inappropriate to forward someone else’s message(s) without their permission.
- Use humor carefully. The absence of face-to-face cues can cause humor to be misinterpreted as criticism or flaming (angry, antagonistic criticism). Feel free to use emoticons such as :-) or ;-) to let others know that you’re being humorous.

Norms

This is an example of norms for participating in constructive controversies. Smith, K., Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (1981). Can conflict be constructive? Controversy versus concurrence seeking in learning groups. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 73, 651-663.

- | |
|--|
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I am critical of ideas, not people. 2. I remember that we are all in this together. 3. I encourage everyone to participate. 4. I listen to everyone’s ideas, even if I do not agree with them. 5. I restate what someone has said if it is not clear. 6. I try to understand both sides of the issue. 7. I first bring out all the ideas, then I put them together. |
|--|

Although obviously written for younger students, these norms work well for online discussions among adults, too. At the same time, however, let’s add these norms:

Critical to the advance of knowledge are:

- Criticizing ideas, and having our ideas criticized by others.
- Taking up criticism.
- Exploring ideas without fully believing them, or without believing them at all.

Reading List

Four important notes:

1. Substitutions may be made for readings on this list. If substitutions are made, they will be announced before that week's readings begin. On the day when readings for a week begin, please double check course announcements to be sure that there have been no substitutions. Please check with me if you decide to read substantially ahead.

2. Shorter readings may be added to some weeks to address issues that arise in our discussions.

3. TBA (to be announced) denotes that a reading will be added to the list.

4. In some weeks, there are additional online sources posted on eCollege. Each week, be sure to check what is listed under the main activities for that week.

Week 1. Getting started.

Because you have not yet had time to complete any readings, we will spend the first week on a variety of introductory activities. Please note that discussion threads will be active this week!

Week 2. Theories of Learning I

Chinn (2011a). Information processing theory, constructivism

Kirschner, P. A., & van Merriënboer, J. J. G. (2013). Do learners really know? Urban legends in education. *Educational Psychologist, 48*, 169-183.

Chi, M. T. H., & Wylie, R. (2014). The ICAP framework: Linking cognitive engagement to active learning outcomes. *Educational Psychologist, 49*, 219-243.

Week 3. Theories of Learning II

Rogoff, B., Paradise, R., Arauz, R. M., Correa-Chávez, & Angelillo, C. (2003). Firsthand learning through intent participation. *Annual Review of Psychology, 54*, 175-203.

Scott, S., & Palincsar, A. (2013). Sociocultural theory. Downloaded from <http://www.education.com/reference/article/sociocultural-theory/>

Bransford, J. D., Brown, A. L., & Cocking, R. R. (1999). How experts differ from novices. Chapter 2 of their book: *How People Learn*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

Week 4 Readings. Prior Conceptions and Learning

Chinn (2011b). Effects of prior conceptions on learning.

Chinn, C. A., & Samarapungavan, A. (2001). Distinguishing between understanding and belief. *Theory Into Practice, 40*, 235-241.

Watson, B., & Konicek, R. (1990). Teaching for conceptual change: Confronting children's experience. *Phi Delta Kappan, 71*, 680-685.

Swann, W. B., Jr. (1997). The trouble with change: Self-verification and allegiance to the self. *Psychological Science, 8*, 177-180.

Week 5 Readings. Self-regulated learning I

Chinn (2011c). Self-regulated learning.

Wineburg, S. (1991). Historical problem solving: A study of the cognitive processes used in the evaluation of documentary and pictorial evidence. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 83, 73-87.
TBA

Week 6 Readings. Goals and Assessment

Wilson, M., & Sloane, K. (2000). From principles to practice: An embedded assessment system. *Applied Measurement in Education*, 13, 181-208.
Chinn (2011e). The instructional cycle.
NRC (2005). Assessment in practice. Chapter from *Knowing what students know: The science and design of educational assessment*. Washington, DC: National Research Council.

Week 7 Readings. Motivation I

Carol Dweck and her colleagues have developed instructional interventions to promote transfer in motivational beliefs (and the associated motivational attitudes and behavior). We are going to read/view several sources related to this:

A. Read this short overview, posted online: Dweck, C. S. (2010). What is school for? Mindset, motivation and learning. *Instructional Leader*, 23 (5), 1-5.

B. Read these two short webpages that discuss the Growth Mindset and Brainology:

<http://www.mindsetworks.com/webnav/whatismindset.aspx>
<http://www.mindsetworks.com/webnav/program.aspx>

C. View these videos:

This one provides a glimpse into their "Brainology" curriculum:
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pF5yB31IT5Y>

This one shows some of their research in action: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TTXrV0_3UjY

D. Read this teacher's account of her use of Brainology.
<http://community.mindsetworks.com/case-study-shifting-student-mindset-with-brainology>

Belland, B. R., Klm, C., & Hannafin, M. J. (2013). A framework for designing scaffolds that improve motivation and cognition. *Educational Psychologist*, 48, 243-270.

TBA

Week 8 Readings. Motivation II

Chinn (2011f). Motivation.
Yeager and Dweck (2013). Mindsets that promote resilience: When students believe that personal characteristics can be developed. *Educational Psychologist*.
Raphael, L. M., Pressley, M., & Mohan, L. (2008). Engaging instruction in middle school classrooms: An observational study of nine teachers. *Elementary School Journal*, 109, 61-81.
View these two talks by Dan Pink:
http://www.ted.com/talks/dan_pink_on_motivation?language=en

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mG-hhWL_ug

Week 9. Motivation III. Transfer I

Patrick, H., Anderman, L. H., Ryan, A. M., Edelin, K. C., & Midgley, C. (2001). Teachers' communication of goal orientations in four fifth-grade classrooms. *Elementary School Journal, 102*(1), 35-58.
Nokes-Malach (2012).

Week 10. Transfer II

Bransford, J. D., Brown, A. L., & Cocking, R. R. (1999). Learning and transfer. Chapter 3 of their book: *How People Learn*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
Schwartz, D. L., Chase, C. C., & Bransford, J. D. (2012). Resisting overzealous transfer: Coordinating previously successful routines with needs for new learning. *Educational Psychologist, 47*, 204-214.
TBA

Week 11. Transfer III

Cognition and Technology Group at Vanderbilt (1992). The Jasper Series as an example of anchored instruction: Theory, program description, and assessment data. *Educational Psychologist, 27*, 291-315.
Kapur, M., & Bielaczyc, K. (2012). Designing for productive failure. *Journal of the Learning Sciences, 21*(1), 45-83.
TBA.

Week 12. Teaching strategies

Chinn (2012). Teaching for self-regulated learning.
Langer, J. A. (2001). Beating the odds: Teaching middle and high school students to read and write well. *American Educational Research Journal, 38*, 837-880.
Rinehart, R. W., Duncan, R. G., & Chinn, C. A. (in press). A scaffolding suite to support evidence-based modeling and argumentation. *Science Scope*.
TBA

Week 13. Collaborative learning

Chinn (2011h). Collaborative learning.
Nussbaum & Edwards (2011). Critical questions and argument strategems: A framework for enhancing and analyzing students' reasoning practices.
King, A. (2002). Structuring peer interaction to promote high-level cognitive processing. *Theory Into Practice, 41*, 33-39.
TBA

Week 14. Other instructional issues

- Czuchry, M. (1995). The use of node-link mapping in drug abuse counseling: The role of attentional factors. *Journal of Psychoactive Drugs*, 27, 161-166.
- Waggoner, M. A., Chinn, C. A., Anderson, R. C., & Yi, H. (1995). Collaborative reasoning about stories. *Language Arts*, 72, 582-589.
- Stigler, J. W., Fernandez, C., & Yoshida, M. (1998). Cultures of mathematics instruction in Japanese and American elementary classrooms. In T. P. Rohlen & G. K. LeTendre (Eds.), *Teaching and learning in Japan*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- MacArthur, C., Ferretti, R. P., & Okolo, C. M. (2002). On defending controversial viewpoints: Debates of sixth graders about the desirability of early 20th-Century American immigration. *Learning Disabilities Research & Practice*, 17, 160-172.

Week 15. Completing paper

There are no readings in Week 15 to give you time to complete your class paper.